

PROGETTAZIONE AMBIENTALE

Sistemi costruttivi

2017-18

register	surname	name	gruppo	01 RAPPORTO AMBIENTALE	02 MASS ENERGY OPTIONEERING	03 CONCEPT DESIGN	04 DESIGN PROPOSAL	05 ENVELOPE DESIGN	MAX POSSIBLE GRADE	TECH GRADE	COURS GRADE
	Cecchini	Duccio		NC	NC	NC	RESPINTO	RESPINTO	0		
	Rappuoli	Alessandro		NC	NC	NC	RESPINTO	RESPINTO	0		
	Ghiselli	vittoria	cinque	28-	29	28	29,5	30	29,025	30 L	30L
	Goracci	Marta	cinque	28-	29	28	29,5	30	29,025	30 L	30L
	Martini	andrea	cinque	28-	29	28	29,5	30	29,025	30 L	30L
	Morellato	martina	cinque	28-	29	28	29,5	30	29,025	30 L	30L
	Bonci	Giacomo	dieci	26	26	28	27	29	27,4	28	26
	Nicassio	Filippo	dieci	26	26	28	27	29	27,4	28	26
	Antonini	Lorenzo	dodici	26-	24	27	27	27	26,4	27	17
	Arrighi	Alessandro	dodici	26-	24	27	27	27	26,4	27	17
	Molendi	Federico	dodici	26-	24	27	27	27	26,4	27	17
	bisciaio	giulia	due	30L	30L	30	29	30	29,75	30 L	30L
	Chelini	Althea	due	30L	30L	30	29	30	29,75	30 L	30L
	Panichi	Riccardo	nove	26	28	27	27	29	27,5	28	28
	Pierozzi	Andrea	nove	26	28	27	27	29	27,5	28	28
	Ancillotti	Martina	otto	29	27	27,5	27,5	29	28,025	29	27
	Bechelli	Candida	otto	29	27	27,5	27,5	29	28,025	29	27
	Bulletti	irene	otto	29	27	27,5	27,5	29	28,025	29	27
	Di Nasso	Margherita	otto	29	27	27,5	27,5	29	28,025	29	27
	Di Lascio	Giorgia	quattro	26	27	27	27	28	27,1	28	20
	Sellitto	Valeria	quattro	26	27	27	27	28	27,1	28	20
	Labanca	Lucia	quattro	27	28	27	27	28	27,4	28	20
	Pollinzi	fabiola	quattro	27	28	27	27	28	27,4	28	20
	Barciulli	elena	quindici	26	27	26,5	27	25	26,25	27	25
	Rum	Pietro	quindici	26	27	26,5	27	25	26,25	27	25
	Santini	marella	quindici	26	27	26,5	27	25	26,25	27	25
	Blanca	Agnese	sei	28	30-	30	28,5	30	29,325	30 L	30
	Ceccarelli	Leonardo	sei	28	30-	30	28,5	30	29,325	30 L	30
	de biasi	clemente	sei	28	30-	30	28,5	30	29,325	30 L	30
	Di Marco	Lorenzo	sei	28	30-	30	28,5	30	29,325	30 L	30
	di tizio	valeria	sei	28	30-	30	28,5	30	29,325	30 L	30

GRADE SPECIFICATION

• The Maximum Possible Rating is a projection taking into account the votes already acquired and multiplied by the corresponding coefficients of importance. In the calculation, NC has a value of zero and the votes that are still to be acquired are assumed as 30. Bonuses or penalties may be possible.

• Students with his Maximum Possible Grade below 18 can not take part in the final examination. They need to attend the class next year.

PROGETTAZIONE AMBIENTALE

Sistemi costruttivi

2017-18

register	surname	name	gruppo	01 RAPPORTO AMBIENTALE	02 MASS ENERGY OPTIONEERING	03 CONCEPT DESIGN	04 DESIGN PROPOSAL	05 ENVELOPE DESIGN	MAX POSSIBLE GRADE	TECH GRADE	COURS GRADE
	Alberico	Claudia	sette	29	30	29	29,5	30	29,525	30 L	30
	Bruschi	Chiara	sette	29	30	29	29,5	30	29,525	30 L	30
	Bruschi	Chiara	sette	29	30	29	29,5	30	29,525	30 L	30
	Orlando	paola	sette	29	30	29	29,5	30	29,525	30 L	30
	Viotti	Beatrice	sette	29	30	29	29,5	30	29,525	30 L	30
	Fenili	gianluca	tre	30	30L	30	29	30	29,75	30 L	30L
	Franchini	Marco	tre	30	30L	30	29	30	29,75	30 L	30L
	Ghelfi	giorgio	tre	30	30L	30	29	30	29,75	30 L	30L
	Nannini	Federico	tredici	30L	30	30	28,5	30	29,625	30 L	30L
	Pallavicino	Carola Maria	tredici	30L	30	30	28,5	30	29,625	30 L	30L
	Tedesco	Marco	tredici	30L	30	30	28,5	30	29,625	30 L	30L
	Antichi	valerio	undici	26	24	25,5	24	30	26,1	27	25
	Bracalenti	Federica	undici	26	24	25,5	24	30	26,1	27	25
	Marras	Silvia	undici	26	24	25,5	24	30	26,1	27	25
	Citrigno	Silvia	uno	27=	27	26	27	27	26,8	27	26
	Di Stefano	Martina	uno	27=	27	26	27	27	26,8	27	26
	Diamanti	Elisa	uno	27=	27	26	27	27	26,8	27	26
	Grazzini	Rebecca	uno	27=	27	26	27	27	26,8	27	26

GRADE SPECIFICATION

• The Maximum Possible Rating is a projection taking into account the votes already acquired and multiplied by the corresponding coefficients of importance. In the calculation, NC has a value of zero and the votes that are still to be acquired are assumed as 30. Bonuses or penalties may be possible.

• Students with his Maximum Possible Grade below 18 can not take part in the final examination. They need to attend the class next year.